

The Ohio Judicial Conference, an independent statutory entity in the judicial branch of government, is the voice of Ohio judges on matters pertaining to the administration of justice.

Officers

Judge Everett H. Krueger Chair Judge John R. Adkins

Chair Elect

Judge Sheila G. Farmer 1<sup>st</sup> Vice Chair

Judge Thomas A Swift 2<sup>nd</sup> Vice Chair

Judge Cheryl S. Karner Past Chair

Chief Justice Thomas J. Moyer Honorary Chair

> Executive Director Mark R. Schweikert

**Executive Committee** The Executive Committee establishes Judicial Conference policy and adopts resolutions that express judicial consensus.

In addition to the Judicial Conference Officers and Executive Director, the Executive Committee is composed of

the chairs of all Judicial Conference committees;

the presiding officers and presiding officers elect of Ohio's judicial associations;

the Administrative Director of the Ohio Supreme Court.

Ohio Judicial Conference 65 South Front Street, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor Columbus, Ohio 43215-3431 614-387-9750 800-282-1510 614-387-9759 (fax) www.ohiojudges.org

## Resolution

## Resolution to Support the Ohio State Bar Association Model Judicial Mid-Term Evaluation Program

Recommended by Ohio Judicial Conference Public Confidence and Community Outreach Committee

> Approved by Ohio Judicial Conference Executive Committee

> > November 18, 2005

The Ohio Judicial Conference supports the Ohio State Bar Association's model Judicial Mid-Term Evaluation program as a productive method for providing constructive and confidential feedback for Ohio judges from those who practice before them. The Judicial Conference urges any local bar association that seeks to implement the model program to give careful consideration to two issues:

(1) Confidentiality is a crucial component of the program, and any implementation should adhere closely to the confidentiality provisions spelled out in the model protocol.

(2) To provide truly constructive feedback, a mid-term evaluation must reach beyond the attorneys in the home county of a given court and seek a representative sample of attorneys who actually practice before a judge, regardless of where they reside.

In small counties with few practicing attorneys, the above considerations are especially important and a successful implementation of the model protocol will require special efforts.