

# BillBoard

MARCH 22, 2019





BillBoard is a publication of the Ohio Judicial Conference that lists bills that Judicial Conference staff is monitoring on behalf of Ohio Judges and that tracks the status of items on the Judicial Conference Legislative Platform. Bills marked as having a judicial impact are priority bills that the Conference supports, opposes, or seeks to amend. Bills without such a designation are bills that have a minimal impact or that are of interest to judges.

The Ohio Judicial Conference exists for the purpose of studying the coordination of the work of the several courts of Ohio, the encouragement of uniformity in the application of the law, rules, and practice throughout the state and within each division of the courts as an integral part of the judicial system of the state; to promote an exchange of experience and suggestions respecting the operation of the judicial system; and in general to consider the business and problems pertaining to the administration of justice and to make recommendations for its improvement. We are authorized by Revised Code section 105.911 to determine the judicial impact of bills and resolutions introduced in the General Assembly.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

Legislation	2-5
Legislative Platform	6-9
Committee List	10
Fact Sheet	11

## LEGISLATION

#### **APPELLATE LAW & PROCEDURE**

#### **CIVIL LAW & PROCEDURE**

- House Bill 103 (Land Installment Contracts)
- <u>Senate Bill 96</u> (Cleveland Housing Court Review Health/Safety Code Cases)
- <u>Senate Bill 103</u> (Cleveland Housing Division Hear Environmental Pollution Cases)

#### **COMMUNITY CORRECTIONS**

#### **COURT ADMINISTRATION**

- House Bill 85 (Transfer Money to Defray Capital Case Cost)
- <u>Senate Bill 58</u> (Regards Restoring Competency for Mentally III Charged with Crime)

#### **CRIMINAL LAW & PROCEDURE**

- House Bill 24 (Revise Humane Society Law)
- House Bill 33 (Animal Abuse Reporting Requirements)
- House Bill 37 (Revise Law About Nuisance, Dangerous, Vicious Dogs)
- House Bill 66 (Enact Theft Victims' Resolution Act)
- House Bill 69
- House Bill 87 (OVI Dismissed Through Intermission)
- House Bill 128 (Modify Penalties for Soliciting)
- <u>Senate Bill 3</u> (Express Intent to Reform Drug Sentencing Laws)
- Senate Bill 5 (Promoting Prostitution)
- <u>Senate Bill 10</u> (Expand Penalty for Theft in Office/Restitution for Audit Costs)
- Senate Bill 13 (Underage Human Trafficking Offenses)
- <u>Senate Bill 18</u> (Confining Pregnant Female Offender)
- <u>Senate Bill 19</u> (Obtain Order Restricting Access to Firearms if Pose Danger)
- <u>Senate Bill 28</u> (Increase Penalty for Protection Order Violation)
- <u>Senate Bill 54</u> (Prohibit Mentally III Death Penalty )
- <u>Senate Bill 55</u> (Enhance Penalty for Drug Offenses Near Addiction Services Provider )
- <u>Senate Bill 58</u> (Regards Restoring Competency for Mentally III Charged with Crime)
- <u>Senate Bill 62</u> (Regards Items that Accelerate a Firearm's Rate of Fire)
- <u>Senate Bill 63</u> (Establish Requirements for Firearms Transfer)

- <u>Senate Bill 64</u> (Raise Minimum Age for Purchasing Firearms and Increase Penalty)
- <u>Senate Bill 65</u> (Regulate Transfer of Firearms at Gun Show)
- <u>Senate Bill 78</u> (Prohibit Smoking in Motor Vehicle if Have a Passenger Under Age 6))
- <u>Senate Bill 100</u> (Parole for Life Sentence when Offender Under 18)
- <u>Senate Bill 47</u> (Allow Certain Sex Offenders to Petition for SORN Reclassification)

#### **DOMESTIC RELATIONS LAW & PROCEDURE**

#### **JURY SERVICE**

 <u>Senate Bill 15</u> (Include Names Submitted by BMV on Juror Lists)

#### JUVENILE LAW & PROCEDURE

- <u>Senate Bill 6</u> (Regulate Temporary Child Hosting with Host Family)
- <u>Senate Bill 13</u> (Underage Human Trafficking Offenses)
- Senate Bill 18 (Confining Pregnant Female Offender)
- Senate Bill 99 (Modify Juvenile Bindover)
- <u>Senate Bill 100</u> (Parole for Life Sentence when Offender Under 18)
- <u>Senate Bill 47</u> (Allow Certain Sex Offenders to Petition for SORN Reclassification)

#### **PROBATE LAW & PROCEDURE**

## **TRAFFIC LAW & PROCEDURE**

- House Bill 62 (Transportation Budget)
- House Bill 83 (School Bus Ticketing, Failure to Stop)
- House Bill 87 (Seal OVI Dismissed Through Intervention)
- House Bill 89 (Failing to Stop for School Buses)
- House Bill 105 (Failing to Stop for School Buses)
- House Bill 106 (Revise Law-instruction Permits and Probationary Driver Licenses)
- House Bill 119 (Regards Traffic Law Violations)
- <u>Senate Bill 68</u> (Allow Community Service in Lieu of Driver Reinstatement Fee)
- <u>Senate Bill 73</u> (Alter Law Governing Yielding to Pedestrians in Crosswalks)

# **SPECIALIZED DOCKETS**

Senate Bill 13 (Underage Human Trafficking Offenses)

# PROGRESS REPORT 2019-2020 LEGISLATIVE PLATFORM

(UPDATED 3-13-19)

PLATFORM ISSUE	Actions/Status
TCAP (Targeted Community Alternatives to Prison) and RC 2929.15. TCAP is not	
working as intended and needs to be reviewed and altered. The 90- and 180-day	
sanctions caps in RC 2929.15, in many cases, undermine the purpose of probation.	
Criminal Code, Traffic/DUI Law, and Drug Law Simplification. Title 29, Title 39	
(Drug Laws), and Title 45 (Traffic Laws/DUI Law) should be revised and simplified.	
Definition of Drug of Abuse. Review 4511.19, 3719.011, and 4511.181 and clarify	
references to "drugs of abuse," "harmful intoxicants," and "dangerous drugs,"	
especially with regard to the establishment of impairment in OVI cases.	
Re-Offender Sentencing. Unless the journal entry provides otherwise, a sentence	
imposed pursuant to R.C. § 2929.141 (Person On Release Committing a Felony)	
shall be served before any other sentence, including specifications. Various	
specifications (such as gun specifications) state that the sentence shall be served	
consecutively and prior to the underlying sentence, but no such direction is given	
regarding post-release control.	
State v. Nucklos (2009). The definition of "affirmative defense" should be changed	
to correspond with recent case law.	
Juvenile Justice Reform. Reform last drafted as 132 HB 394 should be adopted. It	
includes altering mandatory bindover for juveniles to adult court.	
"Safe Harbor" Provision for Trafficked Juveniles. Currently, the law allows a	
charge against a juvenile to be held in abeyance if the juvenile is a victim of human	
trafficking but allows only a 90-day time frame for the abeyance, with the possibility	
of 2 extensions. This time frame should be extended or left open-ended to allow	
for the extensive treatment necessary for a trafficked juvenile.	

<b>Parenting Time Enforcement.</b> A procedural mechanism is necessary to permit a parent to file a motion for parenting time enforcement, as an alternative to a motion for contempt.	
Parental Duty of Support-Age Limit and School Enrollment. A court should have	
the authority to continue a child support order beyond age 18 if, among other	
things, the child continuously attends a recognized and accredited high school on a	
full-time basis on and after the child's eighteenth birthday.	
Unemployment of Child Support Obligor. To develop an automatic adjustment of	
a child support obligation when the obligor goes on unemployment.	
Social Security Benefits. To clarify that receipt of social security benefits does not	
require the judge to find a person legally disabled in domestic relations court.	
Planned Permanent Living Arrangements (PPLA). Amend 2151.353 and 2151.415	
to permit juvenile courts to order PPLA if not requested by children services	
agencies in response to <i>In re A.B.</i> , 110 Ohio St.3d 230, 2006.	
Public Pension Reform and Marital Property. Title 31 should clarify that Deferred	
Retirement Option Plan (DROP) funds constitute marital property and that certain	
post-divorce and post-dissolution disability benefits are marital property. Pre-	
retirement rights of survivorship should be codified (Cosby v. Cosby (96 Ohio St.3d	
228, 2002)), and the state retirement systems should automatically implement	
court division of property orders.	
Review and Modernization of License Suspensions and Reinstatement Fees.	
Unnecessary or ineffective suspensions should be removed from Title 45;	
reinstatement fees should be uniform – not vary based on reason for suspension;	
barriers to legal driving privileges should be removed, including for child support	
suspensions. Language prohibiting driving under suspension of a license should	
include the language "or whose privilege to obtain a license has been suspended."	
Insurance Verification Modernization. The Department of Public Safety Financial	
Responsibility Study Committee report (2014) recommends eliminating random	
verification of financial responsibility. Insurance verification has a tremendous	
impact on the municipal court caseload and real-time electronic insurance	
verification method should be implemented. Proof of insurance should also be	
required upon renewing or obtaining vehicle registration.	

Title 45 Corrections. Several drafting errors/ambiguities were identified in Title 45,	
including F3 OVI Sentencing; enhanced penalties for speeding; and an affirmative	
defense for emergencies when driving under suspension; and huffing a harmful	
intoxicant should be considered an impairing drug of abuse in OVI cases.	
US/Canada Reciprocity. The Ohio BMV should be authorized to enter into an	
agreement with Canada or the province of Ontario for the purposes of reciprocal	
enforcement of traffic violation sanctions.	
Probate Modernization. There is a need in many counties for additional mental	
health professionals to have the authority to testify for involuntary commitment	
and continued commitment hearings.	
Municipal Court Funding and Revenue. RC 1901.31(C)(1) provides that the salary	
of certain municipal court clerks is set by either the court or the local legislative	
authority, depending upon whether the court brings in enough revenue to pay for	
itself. The court should set the salary of its clerk, and the salary and who sets it	
should not be dependent upon the court's revenue. Retired judges filling in for	
municipal court judges should be able to more easily complete paperwork for	
compensation and the county should be collecting the reimbursement from the	
Supreme Court.	
Uniform Computerization Fees. The 129 <sup>th</sup> GA passed an increase in	
computerization fees for Common Pleas General Division only. Similar provisions in	
other sections regarding other court jurisdictions should be increased as well.	
Court Costs in Transferred Cases. Levying a cost in a case when the juvenile is	
transferred should not automatically create a final disposition of the case.	
Court Reporting and Transcripts. Sec. 2301.18 through .26 should be consistent	
with current practices and should be able to accommodate future technological	
changes in court technology.	
Segregation of Funds Collected by Courts. State and county auditors should keep	
all monies collected by a court in a segregated account, separate from the general	

# OHIO JUDICIAL CONFERENCE STANDING COMMITTEES

APPELLATE LAW & PROCEDURE COMMITTEE Judge Craig R. Baldwin and Judge Sean C. Gallagher OJC Liaison: Joshua Williams, 614-387-9767

CIVIL LAW & PROCEDURE COMMITTEE Judge Robt. G. Hart and Judge Gene A. Zmuda OJC Liaison: Marta Mudri, 614-387-9764

COMMUNITY CORRECTIONS COMMITTEE Judge Emanuella Groves and Judge Howard Harcha III OJC Liaison: Marta Mudri, 614-387-9764

COURT ADMINISTRATION COMMITTEE Judge John J. Russo and Judge James A. Shriver OJC Liaison: Joshua Williams, 614-387-9767

COURT TECHNOLOGY COMMITTEE

Judge Duane Goettemoeller and Judge James Stevenson Judge Cynthia Westcott Rice and Judge Joyce Campbell OJC Liaison: Alyssa Guthrie, 614-387-9766

CRIMINAL LAW & PROCEDURE COMMITTEE OJC Liaison: Joshua Williams, 614-387-9767

Domestic Relations Law & Procedure Committee Judge Diane Palos and Judge David Lewandowski OJC Liaison: Shawn Welch, 614-387-9765

JUDICIAL ETHICS & PROFESSIONALISM COMMITTEE Judge Mary DeGenaro and Judge John M. Durkin OJC Liaison: Justin Long, 614-387-9756

**JURY INSTRUCTIONS COMMITTEE** 

Judge Mary E. Donovan and Judge Charles A. Schneider Judge Everett H. Krueger and Judge Gary L. Yost OJC Liaison Shawn Welch, 614-387-9765

JURY SERVICE COMMITTEE OJC Liaison: Marta Mudri, 614-387-9764

**JUVENILE LAW & PROCEDURE COMMITTEE** Judge Luann Cooperrider and Judge Jim James

LEGISLATIVE COMMITTEE

OJC Liaison: Shawn Welch, 614-387-9765

Judge Stephen Powell and Judge John R. Willamowski OJC Liaison: Marta Mudri, 614-387-9764

MAGISTRATES COMMITTEE Judge Beth W. Capelli and Judge Timoth O-Connell OJC Liaison: Joshua Williams, 614-387-9767

PROBATE LAW & PROCEDURE COMMITTEE Judge Jan Michael Long and Judge Jack R. Puffenberger OJC Liaison: Shawn Welch, 614-387-9765

PUBLIC CONFIDENCE & PUBLIC OUTREACH Judge Brendan Sheehan and Judge Eugene A. Lucci OJC Liaison: Justin Long, 614-387-9756

PUBLICATIONS COMMITTEE Judge Deborah J. Nicastro and David A. Hejmanowski OJC Liaison: Justin Long, 614-387-9756

SPECIALIZED DOCKETS COMMITTEE Judge Teresa Ballinger and Judge Maureen Sweeney OJC Liaison: Marta Mudri, 614-387-9764

TRAFFIC LAW & PROCEDURE COMMITTEE Judge Jonathan Starn and Judge Janet Dyar Welch OJC Liaison: Joshua Williams, 614-387-9767









Marta Mudri

Joshua Williams

Shawn Welch

Justin Long

# OHIO JUDICIAL CONFERENCE FACT SHEET

# THE OJC IS AN INTEGRAL PART OF THE JUDICIAL BRANCH OF GOVERNMENT.

With every sitting judge in Ohio in its membership, the Ohio Judicial Conference is *the* voice of Ohio's judges. The Conference is organized into 20 working committees that review statutes, legislation, and court rules, and make recommendations aimed at improving the administration of justice. The Conference provides critical analysis of legislative initiatives and makes suggestions for reform and modernization through its legislative platform. The Conference publishes reports, impact statements, enactment news, policy statements, model rules, bench books, resource guides, and newsletters, and writes the Ohio Jury Instructions. The Conference advances judicial and legal education through continuing legal education events in collaboration with the Ohio Judicial College and Ohio State Bar Association.

# THE OJC GIVES YOUR LOCAL JUDGES A FORUM, A RESOURCE, AND A VOICE.

The members of the Judicial Conference are kept apprised of legislative developments that impact court administration, criminal, civil, traffic, probate, domestic relations, and juvenile law. The Conference enables judges, who often have divergent opinions individually, to build consensus and speak with one voice. It acts as a forum for them to review and render opinions on the construction and effect of legislation, rules proposals, and other initiatives, and to suggest statutory and rule changes to address problems plaguing the administration of justice. It provides a meaningful way for judges to maintain effective communications with their colleagues, learn about changes in the law, and share ideas for implementation in Ohio's diverse communities.

#### THE OJC PROVIDES LEGISLATORS INSIGHT, INFORMATION, AND ANALYSIS.

Judges solemnly respect the distinct and separate roles of the legislature, judiciary, and executive branches of government. The Ohio Judicial Conference was statutorily created to serve the Ohio Legislature by providing insight into proposed legislation that could impact courts. The Conference strives to be a resource to the members of the General Assembly. All legislators have access to the Ohio Judicial Conference as a source of judicial feedback, research, and recommendations. Judges are in a unique position to provide early insight and perspectives on the practical impact of changes in the law that will help to avoid unintended consequences and protracted litigation. The combined experience of the Conference's judges give legislators insight that otherwise might be overlooked, to the detriment of the legislative process and the uniform administration of justice.

#### THE OIC HELPS TO INSTILL PUBLIC CONFIDENCE IN THE JUDICIARY.

Public confidence in the judiciary is one of the priorities of the Ohio Judicial Conference. When the Conference reviews bills, a significant and practical focus is how that bill will impact the public's access to the courts, their ability to obtain justice, and their right to be treated fairly under the law. Another of the Conference's priorities is to provide the General Assembly with insight on how legislation impacts court caseload and workload, insight that helps control the costs to the public of operating Ohio's courts.